

#### CHIPPENHAM COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION TRACKER/ NOTES LOG

25th June 2019 LIVE

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
1.	Attendees, Apologies	s & Introductions			
	Present	Cllr Ross Henning, Angell Williams (AW), Ollie Phipps (OP), David Kerr (DK), John Scragg, (JS), Lesley Palmer (LP), Cllr Nick Murry (NM), Martin Rose (MR), Spencer Drinkwater (SD) Chris Clark (CC)  Cllr Ross Henning elected to Chair. Nominated by Lesley Palmer (and Supported by John Scragg.			
	Apologies	None			
	Observers	Tina Jones (Nettleton Resident) Jenny Minney (Nettleton Parish Council)  Notes: OP left meeting at 10.25am. DK left meeting at 11.20 SD left meeting at 12.00pm. AW left meeting at 13.40			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
2.	Notes of the last mee	eting (22 <sup>nd</sup> Jan 2019)			
		The recommendations contained in the Note Tracker from the Chippenham CATG meeting held on 22 <sup>nd</sup> January 19 were agreed at the Chippenham Area Board meeting on 4 <sup>th</sup> February-19. Chippenham Area Board Minutes 4th February 2019			
3.	Finance		1		
		Financial position at 17 <sup>th</sup> June 2019  2019-20 allocation = £18,087.00  2018-19 underspend = £10,445.85  2019 -20 3 <sup>rd</sup> party Contributions £3430.61  Total Budget for 2019-20 = £31,963.46  Existing commitments (incl. carry over schemes form 2018/19) = £11,797.85  Current Balance = £20,165.61 See Appendix 1			MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
4.	Annual Dropped Ker	bs Exercise			
		<ul> <li>Dropped kerbs requests are considered once a year in June to maximise the budget</li> <li>22-Jan-19 - Awaiting confirmation of 2019/20 budget. MR to coordinate the dropped kerbs exercise and prepare list for consideration at the next CATG meeting</li> <li>25/06/19 Request for new sites was issued by CEM in May 19.</li> <li>Requests received from Chippenham town council. Email dated 11/06/19 1. Westbrook Close (pair) near the cut through to Hungerdown Lane. 2.Westmead Lane (pair) (location be confirmed)</li> <li>TC have confirmed a 50% contribution to sites if agreed.</li> <li>Refer to individual request Issue 6733 dropped kerb near Morrisons roundabout</li> </ul>	Sites at Westbrook close and Westmead Lane Chippenham agreed.  Cost estimate of £1700 per pair. Allocated Total cost £3400 with 50% contribution from Chippenham TC.  Request for Malmesbury Road (to access Morrisions store) on hold pending more information	1.	
5.	Freight Assessment	and Priority Mechanism (FAPM) exercise			
	Standing item for update	Sites for 2017/18 agreed by Chippenham Area Board 13th March 2017  Malmesbury Road, Chippenham Hill Corner Road, Chippenham	25/06/19 SD explained that all freight requests are on hold pending publication of new		SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>SD advised that neither of the sites submitted by Chippenham would be taken forward as there were other higher priorities within the county.</li> <li>19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board noted the update</li> <li>SD advised 100 requests on the list across the county and Wiltshire Council has a budget to address two per year. Criteria include characteristics of road, volume of traffic, proximity roads services, accidents related to HGVs</li> <li>Hill Corner Road traffic situation due to development is a separate issue.</li> <li>Sites for 2018/19 - SD advised that 2018/19 FAPM exercise will take place in autumn ready for next year.</li> <li>Chippenham CATG will need to agree top two submissions for 2018/19 at the next CATG meeting</li> <li>23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>18-Sep-18 SD not available to attend. This item postponed until next CATG meeting.</li> <li>22-Jan-19 SD not available to attend. SD has advised that the Director and Cabinet for Highways are currently reviewing the FAPM process.</li> </ul>	freight strategy as part of LTP in 2020. CATG's can still support requests but must fund investigatory work and implementation costs.  Weight limit request from Yatton Keynell re. Biddestone Lane discussed. Cost approx. £3,500 - £5000. Not supported by group. LP to report back to group. YK Clerk can contact SD if further information is required.		
6.	Major Maintenance in	n 2019/20			
		Sites for 2017/18 - agreed by Chippenham Area Board 13th March 2017  • Ford to Slaughterford	25/06/19 Group expressed disappointed that a number		

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>Leigh Deli South</li> <li>Ladyfield Rd</li> <li>Foghamshire</li> <li>C173 Nettleton</li> <li>Derby Close</li> <li>Grittleton Dead Hill - completed</li> <li>Ham Lane Biddestone</li> <li>Cuttle Lane to Giddea Hall</li> <li>Ashes Lane, Kington Langley</li> <li>Days Lane A350</li> <li>Brook St</li> <li>Thornhill Lane, Langley Burrell</li> <li>Charter Road, Chippenham</li> <li>DW confirmed that:</li> <li>The March 2017 list (above) was on a reserve list with the consultant Atkins and is currently being assessed into a priority order.</li> <li>The list will be a 5-year programme, I am moving away from the allocated spend per year for each area as some works required are in excess of those budgets, therefore it may be that the spend in each board will vary depending on need.</li> <li>All surface dressing jobs planned for 2018/19 have been completed</li> <li>Sites for 2019/20 - Major maintenance costing approximately £700,000 is likely commence in 2019 the list of proposed sites includes: <ul> <li>A4 Bath Road</li> <li>Sadlers Mead Chippenham</li> <li>High Street Chippenham</li> </ul> </li> <li>The schedule for major maintenance is based on: <ul> <li>Technical surveys/condition assessment</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	of sites have disappeared from list without being completed. Diane Ware to report back with updated information at Sept 19 meeting.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>Usage         <ul> <li>My Wiltshire reports of potholes etc. from members of the public</li> <li>Prioritised by CATG and Area Board</li> </ul> </li> <li>A list of the final sites verified and costed by the consultants Atkins will be sent to CATGs in November 2018.</li> <li>Chippenham CATG to consider list from DW and identify top 10 priorities at next CATG meeting</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update.</li> <li>22-Jan-19 The following update was provided DW who was unable to attend the meeting:         <ul> <li>We will be sending the 5-year plan to all CATG's</li> <li>I am yet to include all your sites but have included most so far, once we have a better idea of costings for the schemes we will insert them See Appendix 2</li> <li>If Chippenham CATG has further sites for years 3 and beyond, please let me know and we can assess them with the few remaining others</li> <li>We will be undertaking patching to the year 2 surface dressing sites in the first year where funds allow</li> </ul> </li> <li>The funding allocation will be reviewed annually as we receive the condition data.</li> </ul>			
7.	Priority One Scheme	s			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>A brief summary w</li> <li>The entry will be "g</li> <li>MR will provide up</li> </ul>	The entry will be "greyed out" to indicate that it is in progress and no further discussion is required at the CATG meeting			
7.1	Completed Priority One Schemes	<ul> <li>5348 - Erosion Upper Common east end Parkers Lane Kington Langley</li> <li>5489 - Vehicles driving across green open space Derriads Lane, Chippenham</li> <li>5829 - Vehicles ignoring No Entry and No Right Turn signs</li> <li>5942 - Vehicles misdirected in to The Cloisters by sat nav. causing damage and disturbance</li> <li>3885 Plough Lane, Kington Langley - Remedial drainage work completed.</li> </ul>			
7.3	4704 - Pedestrian Crossing Malmesbury Road	<ul> <li>Request: Zebra crossings required for access to John Coles Park and schools as currently lethal on Wedmore Avenue and Malmesbury Road. These access points are used by secondary school children to access school during rush hour when cars are rushing. Also used by people accessing the park both are on blind bends and are lethal it is too dangerous making the park sometimes inaccessible I often see parents crossing the road one child at a time this is a lovely facility needing to be more accessible by foot.</li> <li>Town Council considered on 3-Aug-17: Recommend that a pedestrian survey is carried out and agrees a contribution of £200 (25% of the cost advised)</li> </ul>	25/06/19 Group accepted findings of the report but asked if improved signing could be given consideration. MR to examine options and report back to the group. Issue to be greyed out.	1	MR

ltem	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>2-Oct-17 Telecon with requestor to establish which crossing was felt to be the highest priority. Requestor stated that both were important and she would like both surveyed.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>CATG considered requestors comments. It was agreed that one pedestrian survey should take place on Malmesbury Road; the CATG agreed that less pedestrians cross Wedmore Avenue</li> </ul>			
	6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation to designate as Priority One and funding allocation of £600, for a pedestrian survey assessment to be carried out on Malmesbury Road, conditional upon a contribution of £200 from Town Council.			
	<ul> <li>MR advises that formal quotes have come back with the cheapest at £1500.</li> </ul>			
	This issue is subject to an online petition <a href="https://www.change.org/p/wiltshire-council-add-safety-measures-to-dangerous-chippenham-crossing">https://www.change.org/p/wiltshire-council-add-safety-measures-to-dangerous-chippenham-crossing</a>			
	<ul> <li>19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation and allocated an increased contribution of £1,125 towards the pedestrian survey conditional upon an increased contribution of £375 from Chippenham Town Council.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>19-Apr-18 Chippenham Town Council agreed to contribute an additional £175 towards this project (total contribution £375).</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Survey results:</li> <li>Average speed 36 mph both directions</li> <li>Zone C 367 per day at crossing point</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>CATG was asked to consider issues 4704 and 5753 at the same time as the requests are similar. MR advised the group that his workload would only allow him to produce one scheme/design of this type in 2018/19.</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>CATG noted the survey results for both issues, acknowledged the concerns for each and concluded that 4704 Malmesbury Road should be prioritised due to a higher number of movements at that location. Issue 5753 will remain on the list of requests and will be reconsidered in 2019/20.</li> <li>23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed CATG recommendation to prioritise this location and instruct Principal Highways Engineer to proceed with the pedestrian assessment for this scheme.</li> <li>MR advised that full pedestrian assessment will take place in early 2019. If the recommendation is for a formal crossing, this would need to be submitted to Substantive Scheme for funding as estimated cost is approximately £70,000.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms assessment remains outstanding and will be undertaken when time permits.</li> <li>17/06/19. Assessment completed April 19. Report did not recommend formal crossing. No further action at this stage</li> </ul>			
7.6	5734 – Emergency vehicles unable to park at front of Croft Court Residential Care Home	<ul> <li>Request: Yellow hatch lines to at least half of the layby</li> <li>MR advises approx. costs:         <ul> <li>Legal Order required £2,500</li> <li>Hatch markings £500</li> </ul> </li> <li>Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue 6063 at the same time and if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes</li> </ul>	25/06/19 Works programmed to be implemented as part of the Chippenham parking review. Likely late summer 19	1	MR

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>8-Mar-18 this request was considered by Chippenham Town Council. The Town Council does not support this request as Councillors were of the opinion that it was not a priority for the area.</li> <li>AO asked MD to brief CATG in his absence. AO intends to request Chippenham Town Council PET Committee reviews this issue. The Manager at Croft Court has reported that ambulances are regularly called to the home to attend the older residents; the majority of the time the emergency vehicles are obliged to park some way down the street because the layby is occupied by vehicles belonging to visitors or local residents from nearby properties.</li> <li>Clearly this impacts upon paramedic's response times and it is not uncommon for the patients to be wheeled some distance down the pavement to the ambulance; this is not only uncomfortable (sometimes cold and/or wet) it can be unnecessarily distressing and does little to preserve the dignity of the older person.</li> <li>Reasons for review:</li> <li>A similar request from nursery school for drop-off zone to accommodate parents dropping off young children at a nursery school (6063) has been supported</li> <li>Supporting the request from Croft Court would afford older people the same consideration as the parents and children, at what is a most distressing time, when they have been taken ill or sustained an injury and need to get to hospital</li> <li>The cost to implement the nursery drop off is £3,000</li> <li>The cost to implement both schemes is £3,500 – just £500 additional cost</li> <li>9-Aug-18 Reconsidered by Chippenham Town Council. Town</li> </ul>	Recommendations		
	Councillors agreed to support it and contribute £125 (25% of £500 cost)			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>CATG recommend that 5734 &amp; 6063 are elevated to Priority One and taken together to achieve best value. Funding allocation is £ 2,625 conditional upon a contribution of £875 from Chippenham Town Council.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms documents issued to regulatory team. Awaiting formal advert and implementation.</li> <li><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Croft court">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Croft court</a></li> </ul>			
7.7	6063 – Need for safe drop off zone outside of Little Pips Nursery, Lowden Avenue, Chippenham	Request: A restricted parking zone or drop off zone outside the nursery along Lowden Avenue and Goldney Avenue to enable our parents to drop off safely and easily.  • 3-Feb-18 Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment  • MR advises approx. costs:  • Legal Order required £2,500  • Restricted/time limited bays £500  • Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue number 5734 at the same time if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes  • 14-Mar-18 Chippenham Town Council confirms support for the Highway Officers recommendation for a time limited bay to be installed and agree a contribution of £750 towards the cost. However, there were some concerns raised regarding future enforcement. Councillors suggested this request could possibly be combined with a future request to reduce the cost.  • CATG noted the Town Council comments regarding combining with another scheme to reduce costs.  • CATG noted the Town Council comments regarding combining with another scheme to reduce costs.  • The cost to implement the nursery drop off is £3,000. The cost to implement together with issue 5734 is £3,500 - just £500 additional cost  • 9-Aug-18 Town Council confirmed support for 5734 Croft Court	Objections received during formal advert.  Cabinet member report June 19.  Works programmed to be implemented as part of the Chippenham parking review. Likely late summer 19	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>CATG recommend that 5734 &amp; 6063 are elevated to Priority One and taken together to achieve best value. Funding allocation is £2,625 conditional upon a contribution of £875 from Chippenham Town Council.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms documents issued to regulatory team. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Little Pips Nursery">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Little Pips Nursery</a></li> </ul>			
7.8	5825 – Lack of footway Turnpike Cottage to corner of Cuttle Lane Biddestone	Parish Council requests: Road surface prepared to slow vehicles down and a marked pavement/footway from Turnpike Cottage to the end of Cuttle Lane introduced. Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council.  Site meeting held 10 <sup>th</sup> April 2018.  Cost estimate £8,000 - £10,000  AW advised that Parish Council is willing to contribute more than the normal 25%  Biddestone Parish Council confirm 5825 is the top priority  CATG recommendation is to elevate to Priority One, allocate £1,050 for topographical survey conditional upon contribution of £350 from Parish Council  8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation  22-Jan-19 Topographical survey received; Parish Council invoiced for their contribution.  MR to provide outline plan for Parish Council consideration  https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone	Outline plan for 3 options provided to Biddestone PC, June 19. Further discussion and agreement required to agree option to be progressed. Site meeting to be arranged. Report back to next meeting.	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.9	5937 Reduce speed limit on B4039 Kent's Bottom near Yatton Keynell	Request from Yatton Keynell Parish Council: "Reduction in speed limit on B4039"  • MR has carried out site visit. Site does not meet the requirements of circular 01/13 to extend existing 30mph limit at Yatton Keynell to cover Kent's Bottom due to lack of frontage development. Single recorded collision (slight) in 5 years (Driver fell asleep).  • 40mph criteria also not strictly met but may be possible, providing min length can be achieved. Local signing improvements may be better option. CATG requested MR discuss options with Parish Council  • MR confirmed that a site meeting took place 17-Sep-18 with Parish Chairman:  • Currently 50mph • Doesn't meet criteria for 30mph • Potential for signing and lining • Not dissimilar to Tiddlywink at the other end of the village therefore 40mph seems reasonable • Installation of Hamlet name plate would assist • Cost estimate for surveys £2,500 • Installation if scheme proceeds cost estimate a further £3,500  • CATG recommendation Priority One, allocate £1,875 conditional upon contribution of £625 Parish Council  • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation  • 22-Jan-19 Atkins have completed their assessment. Recommendation is no change to speed limit. Suggested improvements include:  • Nameplates "Kent's Bottom"  • "Please drive carefully" and "Pedestrian in Road Signs"	25/06/19 Proposal and cost agreed with Yatton Keynell PC. Order issued, awaiting implementation. Likely Summer 19. To be greyed out.	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>NB Pedestrian in road signs to also be installed in Tiddlywink. Approx. costs £1,500. Parish contribution £375.</li> <li>MR to draw up proposals and costings and liaise with Parish Council <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Yatton Keynell">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Yatton Keynell</a></li> </ul>			
7.10	6559 - Risk to pedestrians on B4039 in Burton narrow road without footway	<ul> <li>Request from Parish Council: "Provision of signs on the roadside to warn drivers Pedestrians in Road."</li> <li>18-Sep-18 MR advised CATG standard pedestrian in road sign is sensible in these circumstances. Cost approx. £500 for sign.</li> <li>CATG agreed recommendation to elevate to Priority One and allocate £375 conditional upon a contribution of £125 from North Wraxall Parish Council</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms works order placed. Awaiting implementation.</li> <li>Google image</li> </ul>	Sign works complete. 'SLOW' markings to be implemented as part of adhoc lining programme during June / July 19  Remove from next tracker	1	MR
7.11	6140 – Request to reduce speed limit to 30mph in Upper Wraxall	<ul> <li>Request from North Wraxall Parish Council: Reduce speed limit to 30mph - Speeding cars through Upper Wraxall village from A420. The road through the village has no walkways and pedestrians are at risk from cars leaving the 50mph A420 onto a non-restricted speed road.</li> <li>The CATG asked the Highways Engineer to contact the Parish Council to discuss the matter in more detail, visit the site if necessary and update the next CATG meeting.</li> <li>23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>18-Sep-18 MR advised that he is awaiting a response from Parish Council</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> </ul>	Agreement from North Wraxall PC to contribute £1000. To be formally advertised along with Castle Combe Summer / Autumn 19	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms site meeting took place with Parish Clerk Glenys Gill on 18-Dec-18. MR advised that the character of road and environment is not suitable for current de-restriction; suitable for 30 possible 20mph limit. No requirement for formal assessment.</li> <li>CATG recommendation: Priority One, introduce a 20mph limit and allocate £3,000 conditional upon contribution of £1,000 Parish Council.</li> </ul>			
7.12	6431 – HGV traffic ignoring signs & increased traffic through Kington Langley	<ul> <li>Incorporate a 7.5t weight limit sign in the vicinity of the A350.</li> <li>Equestrian warning signs covering a 2-mile distance"</li> <li>Request for weight limit referred to Sustainable Transport Team for guidance.</li> <li>Highways Engineer advises: There is a stud farm on Ashes Lane and equestrian activity on nearby Days Lane so warning signs may be considered. The following should be taken into consideration:         <ul> <li>Local authorities have been directed by central government to reduce the amount of sign clutter on our roads</li> <li>This is even more important in rural locations where too many signs can detract from the character of the area</li> <li>Should drivers expect to see riders on horseback on a rural single-track road? Will signs make a difference?</li> <li>Wiltshire Council policy is to ask the Requestor to pay the full cost for any non-essential signage.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR to investigate proposals and obtain cost estimates for consideration at next CATG</li> <li>MD confirmed Parish Council will pay for the horse signs</li> </ul>	25/06/19 Sign design outstanding. Implementation likely Autumn 19	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR advised CATG that the existing signs are in poor repair. He recommends that the existing signs are removed, new signs installed near to junction and angled so that they are visible far earlier. Estimated costs £1,500.</li> <li>22-Jan-19 CATG agreed officer recommendation. Chippenham Area Board elevate issue 6431 to Priority One and allocate £1,125 to install new signs conditional upon a contribution of £375 from Kington Langley Parish Council</li> <li>Google Image</li> </ul>			
7.13	6433 - Lack of signs for Jacksoms Lane	<ul> <li>"A sign erected part way down the A350 between the Kington Langley traffic lights and Jacksoms Lane indicating that there is a turning there. Also, a reflective sign at the entrance to the lane."</li> <li>16-Jul-18 - Referred to Kington Langley Parish Council</li> <li>13-Aug-18 considered by Kington Langley Parish Council. Parish Council confirm support providing Langley Burrell is also approached for support and consequently will share the Parish Council costs as part of Jacksoms Lane is in Langley Burrell</li> <li>14-Aug-18 - referred to Langley Burrell Parish Council</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>21-Sep-18 Langley Burrell Parish Council response:</li> </ul>	Works complete. Final cost £667.24. Kington Langley and Langley Burrell PCs invoiced for 12.5% contribution (each).  Issue to be removed from next tracker.		

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	I can advise you that the Council, while supportive of the provision of signage and consider to be a priority for Highway Safety, could not agree that financial support should be given.  The reasons being that it is unreasonable for the Council and Kington Langley Parish Council to be asked to pay for the erection of advance warning and directional signage on the A350, particularly when the road only in recent times has been upgraded because of its importance and it should have been part of the highways design requirements for the signage to be included, as it should be now as clearly there is a danger for all users of the heavily used trunk road.  In addition to this objection in principle the Council felt it was unwise to agree to what was an open ended financial commitment as there was no indication of what the shared cost would be. I am sorry to have to pass on this unwelcome news but the bottom line really is that local requests that could be considered as added helpful additions to standard signage might be worthy of support but signage that should already be part of the highway network and financed/provided by transport authorities should not be supported from very small Parish Budgets.  • 11-Oct-18 Further message received from Issue Correspondent commented upon the latest update:  I am appalled and disappointed that this issue has not been resolved. This is a very serious accident just waiting to happen! Please just drive down this section of the A350 and on approaching the Jacksoms Lane turning take note of the number of tyre marks on the road due to last minute braking. Today the car behind me had to swerve last minute into the outside lane to overtake me, even though I had been indicating left for a considerable time. Fortunately, the outside lane was clear, but if there had been a vehicle there, it would have resulted in a multiple crash. Surely peoples' lives cannot be measured by the meagre amount of money it would cost to put up a road sign! There is a speed sign of 50mph which very few people t			
	ivii ( uuvided.			

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>There were 2 junction warning signs on the southbound approach to Jacksoms Lane which pre-dated Phase 1 of the A350 works (see google image)</li> <li>It would appear that it was removed and not replaced in an effort to remove excessive signage</li> <li>Highways Officers are of the opinion that a single replacement warning sign would be a better option than a flag type sign with the legend 'Jacksoms Lane'</li> <li>Costs will depend on the contractors traffic management requirements to install the sign:         <ul> <li>£800</li> <li>£2500 if a nearside lane closure is required</li> <li>The cost is also higher than a standard size due to its large size and the possible requirement for a passively safe post</li> </ul> </li> <li>6-Dec-18 Langley Burrell Parish Council invited to review their decision and to send a representative to the CATG meeting on 15th January 2019.</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms the option of providing simplified warning sign on A350 approach. Estimated cost £700</li> <li>David Mannering from Langley Parish Council representative in attendance confirmed:         <ul> <li>Parish Council does not wish to commit to undetermined amount of money</li> <li>Parish Council believes the sign should be paid for from a national budget,</li> <li>MD confirmed that Kington Langley Parish Council will contribute 12.5% of the cost but that the scheme will only progress if Langley Burrell Parish Council also contribute 12.5% of the cost.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>£700 cost</li> <li>25% = £175 - £87.50 per parish</li> <li>DM to return to Parish Council and ask whether they will support £87.50</li> <li>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board elevate issue 6433 to Priority One and allocate £525 to install new signs conditional upon a contribution of £87.50 from Kington Langley Parish Council and a contribution of £87.50 from Langley Burrell Parish Council</li> <li>Google Image</li> </ul>			
8.	Priority Two/Pending	y Schemes			
8.1	5660 - Review of traffic management measures Market Cross Castle Combe	Requested by Castle Combe Parish Council: Review of possible traffic engineering measures in the location of the Market Cross to examine potential to reduce risk of collisions. Prior discussions have been held with Highways Bill Parks who has recommended that CATG would be the appropriate initial avenue.  CATG agreed site visit and liaison with the Parish Council required. Parish Council confirms on hold any further action due to budget constraints  18-Sep-18 – remains on hold  8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update  22-Jan-19 – remains on hold	25/06/19 Issue to remain on hold	2	MR
8.2	5753 - Replace informal crossing points with zebra	Division Councillor requests: I would like to see a study performed to see whether 2 x Zebra crossing would be appropriate for Queens Crescent. Can we perform the study during peak school time children crossing?	<b>25/06/19</b>	2	MR

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
crossings Queens Crescent	Considered by Town Council 25-Jan-18 - Town Council supports this request for an up to date survey and agrees to contribute £250 towards the cost (25%). If possible, the survey should also monitor speeds.	Issue to remain on hold pending future resurfacing works on Queens Crescent.		
	CATG noted petition had been received.			
	CATG noted that Queens Crescent School has updated its travel plan but did not mention any problems with crossings			
	19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board allocated £600 from CATG funds conditional upon a contribution of £200 from Town Council.			
	<ul> <li>The 2018 survey focused on the existing demarcated crossing points, whereas the 2011 feasibility study count covered a much wider area with a larger number of zones. To provide a worthwhile comparison between the counts I've only extracted the relevant zone data from the 2011 study and the results are shown below.</li> <li>The 2018 data in red 2011 data in green</li> <li>SITE 1 Queens Crescent (adjacent to Carnarvon Close)</li> </ul>			
	306 (322) 1000 vehicles per day approx.			
	SITE 2 Queens Crescent (Adjacent to Minster Way)			
	(254) 3000 vehicles per day approx.			
	CATG also noted that a 20mph limit has been installed as part of the travel plan and agreed that the impact of this should be monitored			
	AO asked MD to brief CATG in his absence. The main concern is the ambiguity/potential confusion for pedestrian and drivers about the appropriate way to use the advisory crossings. MR confirmed that in his professional opinion, the advisory crossing on the Minster Way side of Queens Crescent should be considered a higher priority than the advisory crossing on the Carnarvon Close side of Queens Crescent.			
	CATG was asked to consider issues 4704 and 5753 at the same time as the requests are similar. MR advised the group that his workload would only allow him to produce one scheme/design of this type in 2018/19.			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>CATG noted the survey results for both issues, acknowledged the concerns for each and concluded that 4704 Malmesbury Road should be prioritised due to a higher number of movements at that location. Issue 5753 will remain on the list of requests and will be reconsidered in 2019/20.</li> <li>DW advised that Queens Crescent will be resurfaced (date to be confirmed) at which point consideration could be given to removing the informal crossing at no additional cost.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> </ul>			
		22-Jan-19 CATG ask that DW prioritising the resurfacing of Queens Crescent.  https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Queens Crescent			
8.3	5826 - Lack of footway Biddestone Arms to the Village Green	<ul> <li>Parish Council requests: <i>Installation of a marked footway</i></li> <li>Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council</li> <li>Site meeting held 1<sup>0th</sup> April 2018.</li> <li>MR advised that we could consider batching 5825 &amp; 5826 and submitting to substantive bid in 2019/20.</li> <li>Cost Estimate £30,000. NB a topographical survey will be required and combining the two requests would mean that implementation will take considerably longer.</li> <li>AW to confirm with Parish Council:</li> <li>Which request is the highest priority 5825 or 5826? <i>Or</i></li> <li>Does the Parish Council wish for 5825 &amp; 5826 to be "batched together"?</li> <li>Level of contribution the Parish Council is prepared to commit</li> <li>23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted the update</li> </ul>	25/06/19 Issue to remain on hold whist PC pursue other traffic calming options in village.	2	AW

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.4	5827 – Installation of	<ul> <li>18-Sep-18 Biddestone Parish Council confirm 5825 is top priority – 5826 on hold</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 remains on hold but to be revisited at the next CATG meeting as this is identified as a candidate for the Substantive Scheme.</li> <li>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone Arms</li> </ul> Parish Council requests: Installation of white gates:	25/06/19	2	AW
	four sets of White Gates	<ul> <li>1 pair from A420 into village</li> <li>1 pair from Corsham into village</li> <li>1 pair Hartham into village</li> <li>1 pair from Giddea Hall into village</li> <li>To slow down traffic. Details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council</li> <li>Cost of gate approx. £1000 each installed.</li> <li>Site meeting held 10<sup>th</sup> April 2018. On hold pending completion of other identified priorities.</li> <li>18-Sep-18 Biddestone Parish Council confirm 5825 is the top priority – 5826 on hold</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 remains on hold</li> </ul>	Issue to remain on hold whist PC pursue other traffic calming options in village.		
8.5	6058 – Reduction of speed limit to 20mph throughout Castle Combe village	Request from Castle Combe Parish Council:  "Formal investigation into the feasibility and costs of implementing a revised speed limit together with requisite signage"	25/06/19 Discussion with Castle Combe PC have taken	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>MR has arranged for Metro Counts to be carried out in the village.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms Metro Count results as follows:         <ul> <li>South of Water Lane</li></ul></li></ul>	place and extents of 20mph limit agreed.  Recommend combining with Issue 6140 (20mph Upper Wraxall) to reduce costs. Estimated cost £4000.  Group agreed to move to Priority 1 and allocate £4000, less the agreed contribution from CC PC  CATG to consider moving to Priority 1 and allocating funding		
8.6	6119 - Speed of vehicles on Sadlers Mead Chippenham	<ul> <li>Request is from Chippenham Town Councillor for traffic calming measures</li> <li>6-Mar-18 Following discussions with Highways Officer when the Town Councillor was briefed about previous requests relating to the parking arrangements in this location, the Town Councillor has indicated that he may withdraw this request and instead pursue a review of the waiting restrictions on Sadlers Mead.</li> <li>1-Jun-18 Correspondent confirms that following consultation with residents in Sadlers Mead, he wishes to proceed with this request for traffic calming.</li> <li>28-Jun-18 Town Council considered this request and provided the following comments:</li> </ul>	Road safety posters no longer available.  Group agreed to move to priority 1 and allocate £350 for new posters. CATG to fully fund.	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>Councillor Nick Murry described how he'd had extensive conversations with Wiltshire Council Highways officers on the issue, as well as writing to the police, but the speed recordings didn't qualify for community speed watch or for consideration by CATG.</li> <li>Councillor Murry will look at how traffic measures resulting from planned developments near the Olympiad might help improve the situation and also proposed that the Committee write to the Principal Traffic Engineer at Wiltshire Council to enquire about any possible signage that could help.</li> <li>The Committee was also asked for its advice on dealing with similar situations in other areas</li> <li>18-Sep-18 MR suggested that informal posters requesting vehicles slow down (previously used for a road safety campaign in Wood Lane) could be temporarily displayed for a short period.</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 CATG agreed that MR should establish whether the posters are available and liaise with Councillor Murry to establish whether he wishes to proceed with this option.</li> </ul>			
8.7	6144 – Speed reduction to 20mph for High Street in Chippenham	Following safety concerns regarding market traders setting up and backing into moving vehicles and pedestrians presuming that as the market is being set up the High Street is closed to traffic Chippenham Town Councils Planning Environment Transport Committee agreed to apply to Wiltshire Council for a temporary road closure of the High Street to all vehicle traffic  This application was approved but revoked by the Town Council at week 7 of the 12-week closure following a review of the overall position and feedback from residents and businesses.	25/06/19 Standalone 20mph covering High Street in isolation not possible due to length < 300m. MJR attended PET meeting 16/05/19 to discuss 20mph limits. Agreed to place issue on hold pending formation of working group to look at viability of wider 20mph limit exercise in Chippenham.	2	MR

ltem	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	<ul> <li>The High Street currently closes to traffic in accordance with Traffic Orders between 9.30am and 7.30pm Monday to Sunday with the exception of a Saturday i.e. to coincide with the setting-up of the market when it closes from 6.30am.</li> </ul>	Report back to future meeting.		
	<ul> <li>Due to these safety concerns affecting all High Street visitors market traders and pedestrians a temporary trial closure of the High Street on a Friday from 6.30am was pursued thereby aligning the closure time to that of the Saturday market.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Residents and businesses expressed a number of concerns regarding the temporary road closure offering suggestions on how the safety of all High Street users might be ensured and how the area might best be managed.</li> </ul>			
	• Due to the problems associated with the temporary closure of the High Street the Town Council revoked the temporary road closure and a High Street Working Party was set up to look at alternative ways to ensure the High Street is a safe place to visit whilst it is open to traffic. These included re-aligning the market moving it away from the High Street installing traffic calming measures as well as the introduction of a 20mph speed limit as introduced in other high-risk areas such as Redlands. The Town Council would like to apply for the High Street speed limit to be reduced to 20mph for the reasons given above.			
	<ul> <li>Highways Officer advises that if considered a priority for the area by the CATG:</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>An assessment will be required cost approx. £2,500.</li> <li>If the outcome recommends the introduction of the lower limit the typical cost is £3500 - £5000 depending on the size. This includes legal costs. If electrical works required, cost may rise to</li> </ul>			
	£8000  • MR to arrange for SDR to establish statistics			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>18-Sep-18 MR confirms he will provide data/results from SDR at the next CATG meeting and will also recommend where the 20mph limit might be installed</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms that it was not possible to erect SDR device due to restricted mountings. Overall speed is low and is covered by access restriction for 0930 -1930. 20mph limit is feasible but would only be in force for limited period of day.</li> <li>CATG noted that Chippenham High Street is due to be resurfaced in the near future.</li> <li>CATG asked MR to liaise with Town Council to establish more specific proposals e.g.: confirm desired start and end point for lower speed limit and estimate costs.</li> </ul>			
8.8	6288 – Speed of vehicles on Marshfield Road, Chippenham	<ul> <li>Completed Metro Count request form forwarded to Road Safety Unit 1-Jun-18</li> <li>23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>18-Sep-18 MR advised metro count results are awaited</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 MR confirms Metro Count results remain outstanding</li> </ul>	25/06/19 Metro-count results. Vehicles 28485 85th Percentile =30.1mph Mean Speed = 24.4 mph. No further Action.  Remove from tracker and inform requester	2	MR
8.10	6483 - Width or weight restriction needed on Biddestone Lane	Request from Yatton Keynell Parish Council: "Width Weight restriction placed on Biddestone Lane"  12-Jul-18 Referred to Sustainable Transport Team (SD) for guidance	25/06/19  All weight limit requests on hold pending publication of	2	SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 see item 5. SD to provide guidance at future CATG meeting</li> </ul>	new freight strategy as part of LTP in 2020 however CATGs can fund assessment/ implementation.  Biddestone Lane discussed. Cost approx. £3,500 - £5000. Not supported by group. LP to report back to group. YK Clerk can contact SD if further information is required.		
8.11	6495 - Speed of vehicles on Eastern Avenue Chippenham	<ul> <li>Request from Division Councillor:</li> <li>"Check the speed here e.g. using a metro count device. Put in place appropriate measures to deter speeders e.g. look at current parking restrictions signage etc."</li> <li>16-Jul-18 Metro Count Request Form issued for completion and return</li> <li>18-Sep-18 Second Metro Count Request form issued for completion and return</li> <li>8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update</li> <li>22-Jan-19 completed Metro Count form not received back from requester</li> </ul>	Metro Count form not received back from requester.  Remove from tracker and close issue.	2	
8.12	6509 - Lack of signage Tor Hill Crossroads	Request from resident:  "Signage to draw attention to the danger of traveling at 50 mph toward this junction is not appropriate"	25/06/19  Mark Stansby has agreed to look at the site with a view		VV

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	20-Jul-17 Referred to Kington Langley Parish Council for comment.	to replacing life expired signs in 2019/20.		
	<ul> <li>27-Jul-17 Referred to Kington St Michael (KSM) Parish Council for comment</li> </ul>	Remove from tracker and inform requester		
	<ul> <li>17-Sep-18 Kington St Michael Parish Clerk confirms Parish Council support this request but have the following comments:</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>"Wiltshire Council should have done this years ago and now just want money on what they should be doing anyway if it's a highway danger"</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>"How about asking the adjacent PCs who should also assist, being Chippenham Without and Kington Langley?"</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>18-Sep-18 MR advised that this location is not on the cluster site list, but there have been some collisions.</li> </ul>			
	CATG agreed:			
	<ul> <li>KSM Parish Council should approach Chippenham Without Parish Council and Kington Langley Parish Council with a proposal to address the concerns of the member of the public</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>CATG will be pleased to receive feedback from Kington St Michael at the next CATG meeting</li> </ul>			
	8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update			
	<ul> <li>22-Jan-19 MR to discuss with Mark Stansby to establish whether there are any plans for signs. MR to then liaise with KSM Parish to consider next steps</li> </ul>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.13	6789 - Lack of visibility on Fosse Way near Nettleton	Request from Parish Council:  NPC would like to see the following:  1. Installation of a physical measure such as Rumble Strips on the approaches to alert drivers to the need to slow down. Signs alone do not seem to be sufficient:  2. Regular cutting back of the hedges on the approaches to the bends in the road to improve visibility and light. Subject to landowners and/or tenants agreement removal of a hedge and replacement with a fence on the South-East side of the road leading up from the bridge over Broadmead Brook or if this is not agreed then regular cutting of this hedge.  3. Further advanced signage to warn drivers of the need to go Dead Slow. Any speed above 10 to 15mph is too great to avoid a collision at the blind corners.  22-Jan-19 MR advises that this issue requires a site meeting/informal assessment before it can be discussed at a Community Area Transport Group (CATG). Highways Engineer to contact Parish Council to arrange this.	Site visit undertaken with a representative from Nettleton PC. Single track road. New warning signs already in place on both approaches. Further signs not possible. Rumble strips not advisable in rural area.  DK presented breakdown of metro-count data.  Option of providing additional 'SLOW' markings and transverse markings on approaches to be further examined. Locations and space required (approx. 2.3m wide) Group agreed to move to priority 1 and allocate £350	2	MR
8.14	6582 - Noise and traffic pollution A420 Bristol Road near Folly Crossroads	Request received from local resident:  Reduced speed limit to maximum of 30mph speed monitor camera reminders to slow down. Very concerned about impact of increased traffic as a result of new supermarket especially trucks divert trucks away from Bristol Road.  Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comments 16-Jan-19.	25/06/19. The following comments were received form Chippenham TC on 19/02/19  'The Town Council requests that consideration of this matter be deferred for 6-12 months until the impact on traffic of the new store, care home and housing on the Middlefield site is known'.		

u	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.15	6719 – Speeding Sheldon Road	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor:  Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Sheldon Road  Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Metro-count results. Vehicles 27,590 85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile =28.6mph Mean Speed = 23.3mph. No further Action. Remove from tracker and inform requester.		
8.16	6733 – Lack of Dropped Kerb roundabout near Morrisons	<ul> <li>Request from member of the public:</li> <li>"Customer is reporting that there is no dropped kerb access for pedestrians particularly when using wheelchair at roundabout by Morrisons on A350."</li> <li>See item 4. Requests for dropped kerbs are considered annually in June, subject to sufficient budget being available. This request will be added to the list of requests for dropped kerbs in the Chippenham Community Area (June 2019).</li> <li>MR will coordinate requests</li> </ul>	25/06/19  Refer it item 4. Above.		
8.17	6836 – Speeding Sheldon Road	Request from resident of Sheldon Road:  "Speed rumble strips as first priority followed by long term solution to make it safer for all traffic calming or speed restriction changed to 20mph."  Metro Count Request form sent to requester 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Refer to issue 6719 above. Remove from tracker and inform requester		
8.18	6846 - Road Safety at The Street B4039 Nettleton Road and Church Hill junction Burton	Request received from a member Burton Community Association:  A review is undertaken to identify solutions to improve the road safety around this junction. Nettleton Parish Council are currently undertaking an investigation of road safety issues in two areas in the Parish and I would ask they include this issue as part of the overall investigation.  18-Dec-18 Referred to Nettleton Parish Council for comment.	25/06/19 Site visit undertaken with representatives from Nettleton PC. Minor sign /road markings changes identified. Proposal to be drawn up.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		22-Jan-19 MR advises that this issue requires a site meeting/informal assessment before it can be discussed at a Community Area Transport Group (CATG) meeting. Highways Engineer to contact Parish Council to arrange this.	DK presented photos of junction and explained current issues. Request made re. Extension of 30mph speed limit on Nettleton Road. MR explained rationale and criteria for speed limit extension and why 20mph limit is not possible. Cost will likely exceed £3000 for speed limit incl. legal work. Agreed it was better to consider at a later date when it could be combined with other sites.  MR to draw up options for signing and road marking changes with costs for next meeting.		
8.19	6891 - Erosion of grass verges in both Upper and Lower Stanton St Quintin	Stanton St Quintin Parish Council request:  "The Parish Council would like support from Wiltshire Council and would like to request funding to put small posts along the edges of the verge to prevent people parking and driving over them"  22-Jan-19 Parish requested to send specific location to MR	25/06/19 Information from PC remains outstanding. Remove from tracker and inform requester.		
8.20	6941 - Speeding Ladyfield Road Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor:  Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Ladyfield Road  Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Metro-count results. Vehicles 12,628 85th Percentile =30.1mph Mean Speed = 24.4 mph. No further Action.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who	
			Remove from tracker and inform requester.			
8.21	6942 – Speeding Hungerdown Lane Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor:  Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Hungerdown Lane On Hungerdown Lane between Southmead and Ladyfield Road Chippenham @ Latitude 51.455377 Longitude -2.138076  Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Metro-count results. Vehicles 49,034 85th Percentile =32.7mph Mean Speed = 28.9mph. No further Action  Remove from tracker and inform requester.			
8.22	6943 – Speeding Queens Crescent Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor:  Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Queens Crescent  Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Road safety team have not received form. This will need to be re-sent by original requester			
8.23	6944 – Speeding Woodlands Road Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor:  Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Woodlands Road Woodlands Road Chippenham @ Latitude 51.4630193 Longitude - 2.1279882  Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	25/06/19 Metro-count results. Vehicles 18,223 85th Percentile =26.71mph Mean Speed = 23.0 mph. No further Action. Remove from tracker and inform requester			
9	New R	New Requests – entries shaded red are awaiting replies/further information – no discussion by CATG at this meeting				
9.1	6974 Speeding traffic issue Kington Langley	'Speeding traffic close to the village hall and school with no footpath. Metro count done 77 vehicles doing 30mph 38 35mph 11 over 40mph.CSW has been in operation for approx. 14 months and over 200 vehicles a day were clocked doing well in excess of the speed limit'.	25/06/19 Group indicated broad support but it was unclear if this issue had been	2.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		Placing of Pedestrians in road signs mother and child signs and a sign saying no footway for x metres.	considered by the PC and their contribution confirmed. MR to check and report back to next meeting.		
9.11	7011 Footway The Street Hullavington	'Frontage of 66 - 62 The Street Hullavington SN14 6DU - lack of pavement in an area that has high pedestrian usage. As you will see from the Metro Count below approx. 750 vehicles per day enter the village from the south and this figure has increased over the last few months due to vehicles using The Street as a rat run to access the Dyson site on Hullavington airfield'.  Request to extend pavement from 66 The Street to link to the pavement at 62 The Street <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a>	25/06/19 Group support in principle but asked that the PC first contact Dyson to seek funding / contribution to scheme. MR to speak to Maggie Bawden		
9.12	7100 Unity Street Chippenham	'Cars speeding along Unity Street sometimes not realising that it is a no through road. There is a tight turn in to the flats and someone is going to get hurt if vehicles are driven at speed. There are no signs to say no through road or concealed entrance'. Request for 'No through Road Sign' <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a>			
9.13	7113 Hardenhuish Lane by schools	I would like to request the Council to please consider a pedestrian crossing on Sheldon road outside the Sheldon and Hardenhuish Schools. Many children cross this main road to walk down Old Hardenhuish lane and crossing the road is a significant hazard and safety concern as there is no pedestrian crossing.	MJR update 17/06/19 Crossing assessment undertaken as part of recent TAOSJ report. Criteria for formal crossing not met.		
9.14	7142 C115 Ford (road from Colerne)	Request for Bend warning sign after recent loss of control. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a>	25/06/19.  Bend within 30mph limit not 60mph as stated by requester. Warning sign may have existed here previously. PC unwilling to contribute towards new sign		

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		Group unable to support issue due to PC unwillingness to contribute to cost.		
7169 C154 Kington St Michael	Request for new footway on C154 as it enters Kington St Michael by 1 Magpie Cottage SN146JA for 75 metres.  Most at risk of serious harm are pedestrians particularly parents with prams and or young children the elderly or the disabled. The occupants of both Magpie Cottage and Hill House have vehicle and pedestrian access to their properties at this point. Service vehicles school buses lorries all encounter difficulties with the narrowness of the C154 at this point.  '1. The Parish Council would like Wiltshire Council to allocate the highest priority to supporting and financing the work of a suitable professional team to provide the necessary advice and design information to produce an implementable scheme for the completion of the Kington St Michael Footpath Project.  2. The Parish Council would then like Wiltshire Council to take the lead in the costing of this scheme and in determining appropriate sources of funding for the scheme. It is clear that the Parish Precept is unable to support these costs unaided.  3. The Parish Council would like Wiltshire Council to urgently implement a Highways Health Safety Review of the access to and from Kington St Michael'.  https://www.google.co.uk/maps/	WC has legal ownership of land adjacent to 1 Magpie cottage. Further land purchase may still be required. Difficulties incl.  1. Re-siting of a substantial dry stone wall. 2. A considerable fall in ground levels at this point on Tor Hill 3. To allow the footpath to cross a stream at the foot of the hill 4. Problems due to the mains services and utilities that are buried beneath the C154 and which may impinge on the project.  MR and CC explained background to request. Cost unknown but may exceed £100k due to issues described above.  Given its scale and high costs the group felt this issue was outside the		

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		resources of the CATG and were unable to support. PC to investigate other sources of funding.  Remove from Tracker and inform requester.		
7189 Patterdown junction with B4528 Saltersford Lane, Chippenham	Patterdown junction with B4528 Saltersford Lane SN15 2NP. There is a tight bend in the road Patterdown immediately north of the traffic lights and there is a clear risk that drivers of vehicles heading north towards Chippenham fail to appreciate the tightness of the bend especially in the dark. It is suggested that chevron signage be installed to warn and guide motorists of the tight bend in the road immediately north of the junction or alternative measures to reduce the risk of accident in this location.  https://www.google.co.uk/maps	25/06/19.  Cost to provide Chevron sign approx. £683. Inc. TM Chippenham town council support this request.  Group agreed to move to Priority 1 and allocate £4000, less the agreed contribution from CC PC	1.	

Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7196 Cocklebury Road	When exiting the BT telephone exchange car park visibility of oncoming traffic is restricted by cars parked on either side of the exit. This parking is legal but far too close to exit to allow drivers exiting the exchange to see oncoming traffic. The effect is that drivers have to edge out into the oncoming traffic and hope they stop. When doing so it often causes oncoming traffic to deviate at the risk of hitting traffic coming from the opposite direction. Request Change the parking arrangements on the road either side of the BT car park exit to improve the visibility of oncoming traffic <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a>	Response from town Council dated 11/06/19  The Town Council does not support this request. 'Councillors felt they would not like to see any parking spaces lost in this area until the new multi-storey car park is built on Sadlers Mead and parking in this area can be reviewed'  Remove from Tracker and inform requester.		
7300 A429 Stanton St Quintin	"Speed limit on A429 junction Seagry Road Church Lane, Stanton Saint Quintin. The increase in road traffic along the A429 over recent years has made crossing the A429 much more hazardous. Residents from Lower Stanton Saint Quintin and their children have to cross the road to access the bus stop the village hall and the local shop. The traffic is restricted to 50mph but this is still too fast and despite the traffic islands there is an increasing needs for a safe method to cross the road such as a pelican crossing".  Request for a survey of the traffic along the A429 and people crossing the road. A reduction in the speed of traffic to 30 mph (similar to Corston) and if the analysis support the building of a pelican crossing or similar. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a>	Criteria for a 30mph limit along length could not be met. Min pedestrian threshold of 50 pedestrian per hour over 4 busiest hours is also unlikely to be met.  Junction with A429 / Church Lane / Seagry Road likely to change as a result of future development which would provide the opportunity to improve highway layout.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who		
			Remove from Tracker and inform requester.				
	4-19-1 Yatton Keynell	Request to relocate 30mph terminal point north to Village gateway on Grittleton road and install red gateway surfacing.  https://www.google.co.uk/maps/	25/06/19 Further clarification required on whether the PC are seeking an extension of the 30mph limit out to the village boundary sign by Cromhall Lane				
10	AOB						
10.1							
10.2							
	•	Date of next meeting 17 <sup>th</sup> September 2019 10:00 – 12:00 Committee Rooms B & C, Monkton Park Offices, Chippe	enham				

# **Highways Officer – Martin Rose**

### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Chippenham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Chippenham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £14,228.36

### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

## 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

- 5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.
- 6. Safeguarding implications none

### APPENDIX 1

Chippenham CATG		17th June 2019
Budget 2019 -2020		
	£18,087.00	CATG Allocation 2019-20
	£10,445.85	2018 -19 underspend
Contributions		
	£875.00	Chippenham town Council Little pips and Westcroft TROs
	£375.00	Yatton Keynell Kent's Bottom signing
	£1,000.00	Upper Wraxall PC
	£375.00	Kington Langley Weight limit signs
	£87.50	Kington Langley PC (12.5% of jacksoms Lane sign)
	£87.50	Langley Burrell PC (12.5% of Jacksoms Lane sign
	£630.61	Kington Langley PC (100%) Days Lane Equestrian signs
Total Budget	£31,963.46	
Commitments from previous years		
Croft Court Ambulance Bay / Little Pips Nursery parking bays	£3,500.00	Combine TRO work
Malmesbury Road Pedestrian Assessment	£0.00	Assessment completed.
Turnpike Cottage Biddestone	£0.00	monies to be allocated.
New Schemes 19/20		
Additional Signing Kent's Bottom	£1,500.00	£375 contribution to be confirmed
20mph limit Upper Wraxall	£4,000.00	£1000 contribution to be confirmed
Weight Limit Signs Days Lane Kington Langley	£1,500.00	£375 contribution to be confirmed
A350 warning sign for Jacksoms Lane	£667.24	25% contribution costs of £175 to be split 50/50 between KSM and KL PC's
Equestrian Signs Days Lane Kington Langley	£630.61	Full 100% recharge to PC
Current Commitment		
Remaining Budget	£20,165.61	